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The Start-Up Space series examines space investment in the 21st century and analyzes investment trends, focusing on investors in new companies that have acquired private financing. Space continues to attract attention from Silicon Valley and increasingly from investor communities worldwide. Space ventures appeal to investors because new, lower-cost systems are envisioned to follow the path terrestrial tech has profitably traveled: dropping system costs and massively increasing user bases for new products, especially new data and telecom products. Some space start-ups are seeing large valuations, which are attractive to investors, although relatively few exits have occurred. While some maturing ventures are now generating revenue, the start-up space ecosystem has not yet definitively demonstrated business case success. This will be a critical topic in the next several years.

Start-Up Space reports on investment in start-up space ventures, defined as space companies that began as angel- and venture capital-backed start-ups. The report tracks seed, venture, and private equity investment in start-up space ventures as they grow and mature, over the period 2000 through 2017. The report includes debt financing for these companies where applicable to provide a complete picture of the capital available to them and also highlights start-up space venture merger and acquisition (M&A) and IPO activity.

Significant Investment in Start-up Space. Start-up space ventures have attracted over $18.4 billion of investment, including $6.3 billion in early and late stage venture capital, $2.3 billion in seed financing, and $4.5 billion in debt financing, since 2000. More than 180 angel- and venture-backed space companies have been founded and funded since 2000. Eighteen of these companies have been acquired, at a total value of $3.6 billion. Most investment activity has occurred recently, particularly since 2015, with investment between $2 and $3 billion in each of the last three years: $2.4 billion in 2015, $3 billion in 2016, and $2.5 billion in 2017 (excluding debt financing).

- In the early 2000s, an average of four funded space companies were started per year; today the figure is five times higher. (In the last six years, the number of funded new companies has averaged 19 per year.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seed/Prize/Grant</td>
<td>$640.1</td>
<td>$299.0</td>
<td>$1,353.6</td>
<td>$2,292.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venture Capital</td>
<td>$237.9</td>
<td>$416.8</td>
<td>$5,640.6</td>
<td>$6,295.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Equity</td>
<td>$232.9</td>
<td>$1,288.1</td>
<td>$222.4</td>
<td>$1,743.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$584.0</td>
<td>$2,998.3</td>
<td>$3,582.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Offering</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$23.4</td>
<td>$23.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Investment</td>
<td>$1,110.9</td>
<td>$2,587.8</td>
<td>$10,238.3</td>
<td>$13,937.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Financing</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$4,095.9</td>
<td>$386.3</td>
<td>$4,482.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total with Debt</td>
<td>$1,110.9</td>
<td>$6,683.7</td>
<td>$10,624.6</td>
<td>$18,419.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table E-1. The magnitude of investments varies based on investment type and time period.
This research has identified 555 investors in start-up space companies; not all investors are always disclosed, so the total number of investors is higher. Several high profile billionaires, including Jeff Bezos, Richard Branson, and Elon Musk, are space investors; about 2 percent of Forbes’ 2017 World’s Billionaires have an affiliation with a space enterprise.

Looking at investment only (excluding debt financing), three-quarters of investment in start-up space ventures since 2000 has been in the last six years, and nearly 60 percent in the last three. See Table E-1.

Three start-up space companies have attracted investment in excess of $1 billion: Jeff Bezos is estimated to have invested more than $1.5 billion in Blue Origin since 2000 (with some sources placing this total much higher); Google, Fidelity, and other investors have invested $1.7 billion in SpaceX since 2006; and Softbank and other investors have invested $1.7 billion in OneWeb since 2015. SpaceX and OneWeb closed billion dollar deals in 2015 and 2016, respectively.

Venture capital in start-up space companies since 2000 totals $6.3 billion, with more than 80 percent in the last three years.


$2.5 Billion in 2017: Historic Number of Companies Reporting Investment, More Investors, No Billion Dollar Deals. Investors provided $2.5 billion of financing to start-up space companies in 2017, about $500 million less than in 2016. This difference is primarily driven by the absence of a billion dollar deal such as those seen in 2015 and 2016 and by smaller value acquisitions in 2017 compared to 2016. See Table E-2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investment Type</th>
<th>2015 (millions)</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>2016 (millions)</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>2017 (millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seed/Prize/Grant</td>
<td>$268.4</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>$419.7</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>$546.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venture Capital</td>
<td>$1,891.7</td>
<td>-15%</td>
<td>$1,602.8</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$1,596.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Equity</td>
<td>$143.0</td>
<td>-100%</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition</td>
<td>$109.2</td>
<td>781%</td>
<td>$962.5</td>
<td>-63%</td>
<td>$360.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Offering</td>
<td>$14.0</td>
<td>-100%</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Investment</td>
<td>$2,426.3</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>$2,985.0</td>
<td>-16%</td>
<td>$2,503.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Financing</td>
<td>$371.2</td>
<td>-99%</td>
<td>$1.9</td>
<td>163%</td>
<td>$5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total with Debt</td>
<td>$2,797.5</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>$2,986.9</td>
<td>-16%</td>
<td>$2,508.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table E-2. Third consecutive year of substantial investment in start-up space, with a slight drop from 2016.
More start-up space companies reported investment in 2017 than in any other year, surpassing the 2016 total by one-third. The number of investors and deals increased compared to 2016, returning to the slightly higher levels seen in 2015.

- 164 investors put $2.5 billion into 73 start-up space ventures across 77 deals.
- The total number of start-up space companies reporting new funding in 2017 (73) broke the 2015 record (65) and increased by one-third over the 2016 total (55).
- While total investment decreased by $500 million, 2017 saw more investors and dramatically more venture deals (an increase of about 70 percent) compared to 2016. The number of investors increased from 136 to 164 and the number of venture deals increased from 26 to 44.
- The year 2017 did not see any billion dollar deals, although Saudi Arabia’s Public Investment Fund (PIF) announced that it plans to invest $1 billion in the Virgin Group—the investment was not reported to have closed in 2017. In addition, it was reported in December 2017 that SoftBank was considering providing an additional $500 million of investment to OneWeb.
- The number of investors (164) increased in 2017 compared to 2016 (136), returning to the 2015 level (166). Similarly, total deals increased from 64 to 77, returning to roughly the 2015 level of 81.

Venture Capital Strong, Growing VC Interest in Space. Total venture investment, nearly $1.6 billion, was about the same in 2017 as 2016. Venture deals increased in frequency by nearly 70 percent (to 44) and 2017 saw nine late stage venture deals (the most of any year). When excluding investments larger than $100 million, venture investment doubled from 2016 to 2017. Of the 87 venture capital firms that invested in start-up space companies in 2017, 44 had previously reported investment in start-up space companies, while 43 appear to be new additions to the start-up space ecosystem.

- Three 2017 deals exceeded $100 million. The largest deal was SpaceX’s $450 million Series H round, the second largest was China-based ExPace Technology’s $182 million Series A, and the third was Mapbox’s $164 million Series C, led by SoftBank.
- Total venture capital invested was about the same from 2016 to 2017, roughly $1.6 billion. Excluding the very large, atypical $1.2 billion round of venture capital from SoftBank and other investors in OneWeb in 2016, 2017 saw about four times the venture investment of 2016.
- The average space venture deal in 2017 was $36.3 million, compared to $61.6 million in 2016.
- The number of venture capital firms investing in start-up space increased in 2017, from 75 to 87 firms. (The reported number of venture capital firms investing in 2016 has increased since our previous report, as more companies have announced transactions and as new data sets have become available.)
More than 250 venture capital (VC) firms have invested in space start-ups. Sixteen VCs have repeatedly invested in common with others, with each of the following space start-ups reporting investment from at least two of these investors: Accion Systems, Cape Analytics, Enview, Kepler Communications, Mapbox, Orbital Insight, Planet, Rocket Lab, SkyWatch, SpaceX, Spire, Swift Navigation, Terra Bella, The Climate Corporation, Ursa Space Systems, and Vector. See Figure E-1. In addition, 19 VCs have invested in at least three different start-up space companies, and 15 VCs have participated in at least five start-up space deals.

Unicorns and a Few Exits. Investors focus on valuations and exits. SpaceX is an undisputed space unicorn (a private company with a valuation of $1 billion or more); after a $450 million Series H, SpaceX’s valuation was reported at $21.5 billion. Several other start-up space companies, including OneWeb, Planet, and Rocket Lab, have been reported by some sources to be unicorns, and two, Mapbox and Kymeta, may be on the path. While unicorn valuations are increasing in number, some financial analysts caution that many unicorns (across sectors, not specifically in space) have exaggerated valuations.

Three acquisitions in 2017 totaled about $360 million, including Planet’s estimated $300+ million acquisition of Terra Bella, EagleView Technologies’ acquisition of OmniEarth, and AAC Microtec’s $35 million acquisition of Clyde Space.

- In February 2017, Planet acquired Terra Bella for an undisclosed amount, estimated at $300+ million. Google acquired the predecessor to Terra Bella, Skybox Imaging, in 2014 for $478 million. This possible loss for Google does not appeared to have chilled investment.
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- Founded in 2005, Clyde Space previously reported $1.6 million of funding from investors, including Nevis Capital and Coralinn. In 2017, the company was acquired by a Sweden-based space technology company, AAC Microtec for $35 million.

- OmniEarth, a Virginia-based space start-up founded in 2014, was acquired by EagleView Technologies for an undisclosed amount in April 2017. The company had previously reported about $5 million of financing from angel investors.

**Non-U.S. Activity in a U.S. Dominated Sector.** U.S. space start-ups continue to dominate start-up space, with about 75 percent of all investment (and 90 percent of seed and angel investment) from U.S. investors since 2015. Roughly 60 percent of investors and companies reporting investment in the last three years are U.S.-based. Total investment in non-U.S. space start-ups dropped in 2017, compared to 2015 and 2016, driven by a decline in private equity, debt financing, and acquisition transactions in U.K.-based start-up space firm, O3b. However, non-U.S. seed and venture investment, the number of non-U.S. firms reporting investment, and the number of non-U.S. investors reached highs in 2017.

- Total investment in non-U.S. space start-ups in 2017 was about $500 million, a drop from previous years.

- Seed and venture investment in non-U.S. space start-ups rose from $153 million in 2016 to $480 million in 2017. The 2017 non-U.S. total was greater than the three previous years, combined. See Figure E-2.

- Seventy-six investors in 2017 were based outside the United States. Non-U.S. investors went from about one-third in 2016 to slightly less than half of all investors in 2017. Most new non-U.S. investors were corporations. Thirty-two non-U.S. corporations provided funding to space start-ups in 2017, with 20 of these corporations headquartered in Japan. (2017 saw a quintupling in the number of Japan-based investors.)

- Thirty start-up space companies based outside the U.S. received funding in 2017. The largest investment in a non-U.S. space start-up was a $182 million Series A in ExPace Technology, a China-based launch start-up. (There have been three others under $10 million, with the most recent in 2014.) Other notable 2017 investments in non-U.S. space start-ups included a $90.2 million Series A in ispace (Japan), a $53.9 million unattributed venture round in Arralis (Ireland), a $27 million Series B in Satellogic (Argentina), and a $25 million Series C in ASTROSCALE (Singapore).

- Looking at all investors since 2000, investors in space companies are primarily headquartered in the United States (321), representing about 60 percent of the total; California is home to nearly half of these investors. Non-U.S. investors

![U.S. and Non-U.S. Seed and Venture Capital (2015-2017)](image)
are based in 36 countries. See Figure E-3. Thirteen non-U.S. space start-ups are headquartered in the U.K., comprising 20 percent of all non-U.S. space start-ups. Canada has the second most start-up space companies (8), followed by Australia (5), Israel (4), Japan (4), and Spain (4).

Tracking Future Performance. After a 10x increase in venture capital and seed investment in space start-ups from 2014 to 2015, investment has remained relatively steady from 2015 to 2017, totaling between $2.5 and $3.0 billion annually. The year 2018 has the potential to outpace 2017 investment, as there are several pending megadeals, including investments in OneWeb, Planet, and the Virgin Group. The next few years have the potential to transform the start-up space ecosystem, and investors will be closely tracking the revenue dynamics and operational performance of maturing start-up space firms. We are now in a proving period as many services and products that attracted investment are deploying or planning deployment shortly and investors are seeking indications they will realize returns.
The *Start-Up Space* series characterizes investment in start-up space ventures and provides insight into investors.

Space continues to attract attention from Silicon Valley and increasingly from investor communities worldwide. Start-up space ventures have become attractive to investors because new, lower-cost systems are envisioned to follow the path terrestrial tech has profitably traveled: dropping system costs and massively increasing user bases for new products, especially new data and telecom products. Some space start-ups are seeing large valuations, which are attractive to investors, although relatively few exits have occurred.

Start-up space ventures are defined here as space companies that began as angel- and venture capital-backed start-ups. (This terminology and definition are intended to generally differentiate start-up space ventures from aerospace and defense government contractors and large, publicly traded space enterprises.) *Start-Up Space* seeks to provide insight into the dynamics of this growing space industry segment and the investment driving it.

### Purpose and Background

Bryce Space and Technology conducted the Start-Up Space study and produced this report, *Start-Up Space 2018*, the third report in this series. This project reflects Bryce’s on-going commitment to aiding good decision-making in industry, government, and academia by providing the space community with rigorous analyses of industry dynamics.

*Start-Up Space* examines space investment in the 21st century and analyzes investment trends, focusing on investors in new companies that have attracted private financing. A key aspect of the current investment trend is that desirable capital that could be directed at any industry sector is flowing into space companies. This report seeks to inform investors, the aerospace industry, and the public about activity in this emerging space ecosystem, and to aid government and industry leaders in decision-making as new space firms and capabilities create new options and alternatives to consider.

### Methodology

Our data set consists of publicly-reported transactions and other information about start-up space ventures as they grow and mature, with a focus on investment magnitude, participating investors, types of investor and investment, temporal data, and geographic data where reported. In addition, our data set includes qualitative data about investment trends and investor motivations. Bryce conducts on-going interviews, surveys, and conversations throughout the global investment ecosystem, including key nodes such as Silicon Valley/San Francisco, Southern California, Washington, D.C., Seattle, New York, Florida’s Space Coast, Houston, Beijing, Brussels, Hong Kong, London, Luxembourg, Paris, Singapore, and the United Arab Emirates.
Definition of Start-Up Space Venture

What is a start-up space venture?

Our definition of a space company is a business entity that provides space products or services, specifically one that provides one or more of the following:

- Manufactures satellites, launch vehicles, or other space-based systems
- Manufactures satellite ground equipment
- Provides services that rely on these systems, such as satellite TV, radio, and broadband
- Provides analytic services based on data collected extensively from space-based systems, either alone or in combination with terrestrial systems

To define a start-up venture, we used a screening criterion that accepted those space firms that have received and reported seed funding or venture capital. We term these firms start-up space ventures throughout this report. (There is no one standard, widely accepted definition for what constitutes a start-up. Typically, different stages within start-ups are described. For example, one typology organizes stages as follows: Seed stage is an idea that is not yet operational, early stage has begun operations but is not yet fully operational, growth stage has commercial revenues but needs outside capital to scale, and expansion stage is just prior to an initial public offering (IPO). No universally agreed-to set of definitions exists. Venture capital firms may focus on a particular stage, such as building a portfolio of early stage companies, or one with a growth stage focus.)

Data Set

We include in our data set all firms that meet these criteria at any time during the period 2000–2017. Analysis of investment magnitude (i.e., value), investors, and transactions throughout this report is based on data available as of December 31, 2017.

Our data set includes all types of investment (seed, venture, private equity, acquisition, and public offering) in the firms that fall within the definition of start-up space ventures. A firm that receives venture funding and then receives a significant investment from a private equity group would be considered a start-up space venture by our definition, and the investments of both the venture firm and the private equity group would be included. On the other hand, a long-standing aerospace firm that recapitalizes and receives an investment from the same private equity group would not be considered a start-up, and the private equity investment would not be included in this analysis. The focus of this analysis is new start-up space ventures and the capital they are attracting.

Note that the seed category includes funding from prizes (such as business plan competitions or XPRIZE), foundations, and crowdfunding campaigns, as well as angels and “space billionaire” super angels.
We also include debt financing for start-up space ventures to provide a complete picture of the capital available to the management team at these companies.

Sources of data on companies and investments include Bryce Space and Technology databases; company and investor press releases; annual reports, investor materials, and SEC filings; financial newsletters and databases, such as Crunchbase, PitchBook, NewSpace Ventures, Owler, and CB Insights; news articles from major media outlets, such as Wired, Bloomberg, Fortune, and Forbes; articles from investment publications, such as PE Hub, FinSMEs, and VentureBeat; trade press, such as SpaceNews, Milbank’s Space Business Review, TechCrunch, Tech in Asia, and business journals; and on-going engagement with industry subject matter experts. Where possible, we confirmed the details of each investment using multiple sources. We further validated our data with venture capitalists, private equity investors, investment bankers, industry experts, management teams at space companies, and through targeted interviews.

The data set includes only publicly reported transactions; it does not include proprietary investment information. In some cases, transaction value, funding round, or investors are undisclosed. Depending on available information, these are either estimated or excluded.

The data set generally excludes government funding, except for certain grants, such as those provided by the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg. A few quasi-government corporations are included (e.g., Midland Development Corporation and The Valencian Institute of Finance), where they provide seed or venture investments with economic development objectives. Bryce also includes funding from publicly funded venture capital firms, such as the Central Intelligence Agency’s In-Q-Tel. Sovereign wealth funds, such as Saudi Arabia’s Public Investment Fund, are also included in the data set—the investment magnitude of these transactions is akin to late stage venture capital and private equity investment.
Overview of Start-Up Space Ventures

Over 180 new angel- and venture-backed space companies were started and funded in the period 2000 to 2017. In the early 2000s, an average of four such companies was started per year. In the last six years, the number of new angel- and venture-backed companies has averaged nearly 19 per year—and that average excludes new firms that have not yet secured investment. See Figure 1.

From 2009 to 2010, the number of new space start-ups more than doubled and venture capital investment increased 55 percent. Three of the newly founded companies were lunar exploration start-ups, which competed in the Google Lunar XPRIZE competition (Moon Express, ispace, and Team Indus). Other companies founded in 2010 would attract $500+ million of venture capital in later years, including Planet, Satellogic, and Mapbox. The near tripling in the number of new start-up space companies from 2009 (7) to 2012 (20) coincides with nearly a quadrupling (to $193 million) in venture capital invested in space start-ups during that span.

SpaceX also conducted its first successful launch of its Falcon 1 launch vehicle in late 2008. In our conversations with space investors, many interviewees have pointed to SpaceX building a valuable company. One investor noted, “They have out-executed some of the more traditional folks. That gave permission to a whole bunch of other folks to think about the problem, which some investors have observed as a catalyst for investment.”

---

Figure 1. Over 180 angel- and venture-backed space companies have been founded and funded since 2000. Excludes companies that have not announced investment, including many founded in the last few years. In the 2017 Start-Up Space report, only eight angel- or venture-backed start-up space companies were reported as having been founded in 2016. In the 2018 Start-Up Space report, the 2016 number increased to 17 companies. This suggests that the number of reported start-up space companies founded in 2017 may increase in the future.
### Examples of recently founded start-ups that have not announced investment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B2Space</th>
<th>Odysseus Space</th>
<th>Rose Galactic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CloudIX</td>
<td>Optimized System Engineering</td>
<td>Satelligence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSV</td>
<td>Orbital Sidekick</td>
<td>SatRevolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizon</td>
<td>Orbital.Works</td>
<td>ShootCapsule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaskilo</td>
<td>Promethean Labs</td>
<td>SpaceVee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levity Space Systems</td>
<td>Rebeam</td>
<td>Sunna-Wedra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lunasonde</td>
<td>RedWorks</td>
<td>Tendeg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metavoyant</td>
<td>ResearchSat</td>
<td>Trident Space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MotorSat</td>
<td>Rocketplane Global</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Examples of space start-ups that have not announced investment. Data reflects publicly reported investments through December 31, 2017.

There is generally a multi-year lag from a company’s founding to when it receives external investment. Therefore, recently founded companies have not had a chance to raise (and/or announce) external funding and are not included in Figure 1. Examples of recently founded start-up space ventures that have not yet secured or reported external investment are shown in Table 1. Reasons for not announcing funding include actively pursuing funding or protecting a competitive advantage. The total number of reported start-up ventures has increased compared to Bryce’s Start-Up Space 2017 report, reflecting new announcements by existing companies, new companies, and enhanced data sets. Based on the recent trend, Bryce anticipates that the 2017 number may double in the next one to two years.
Overview of Space Investors

This analysis considers six categories of investor to aid in understanding trends in investment and investor motivation.

Types of Investor

The investor typology used in this study consists of: angel investors, venture capital firms, private equity firms, banks (typically not strictly investors, but an important source of capital), corporations (as strategic partners and/or internal investors, or through corporate venture funds), and public markets. This typology conceptually groups some investor types that could be treated separately but share characteristics, such as sovereign wealth funds (included in venture capital category) and hedge funds (included in the venture capital firm category). While investor categories continue to shift and evolve, the typology here provides a useful (and generally accepted) broad brushstroke description of groups of investors and their typical investment behaviors.

We describe each type of investor and typical (1) role as a funding source, including the stage of involvement, (2) preferred funding instrument (e.g., debt, equity, or hybrid debt/equity instruments) and (3) general objectives in investing. For illustrative purposes, examples of select space deals are also provided.

Angel Investors

Typically, angel investors are individuals or families (to include family offices) that have accumulated a high level of wealth and seek potentially high returns by investing in ventures during their early stages. In recent years, angels have also participated in syndicates, pooling investments with other angels and venture capital firms to provide more funding to start-ups. This model enables an angel to invest in more companies and spread risk. For example, instead of investing $100,000 in a start-up, four angels will invest $25,000 each. If the start-up fails, the angels have minimized risk through smaller, more frequent investments. We include incubators and accelerators in this investor group because they provide equity as well as mentoring and networking at the pre-seed or seed stage of a start-up. Investment by angels into start-up space ventures is usually in the form of straight equity into the company. Investments often range from $50,000 to more than $1 million. There is a highly visible special category of angel investor in the space ecosystem, consisting of billionaires and other ultra high net worth individuals who have personally staked new space companies. Bryce defines these investors as super angels and caveats analyses of investment totals to highlight the impact of super angel investment ($100+ million deals). The investment level by these investors far exceeds that of typical angels who invest in space start-ups.

By getting in at the ground floor (i.e., when a company is just starting development of its product or service), an angel investor can realize an attractive potential return, as the early investment will secure a significant foothold in the company. Time horizons for angel investors are about five to seven years, meaning they seek to realize their return (i.e., exit) about five to seven years from the date of investment. Angels may expect an equity stake in the company as high as 30 to 40 percent in return for their investment; however, frequently, angel stakes are much lower, especially after subsequent, larger

Three start-up space investments over $1B: Jeff Bezos in Blue Origin, Google and Fidelity in SpaceX, SoftBank in OneWeb.
Overview of Space Investors

investors join the capital structure. Upon exit, angels may expect to receive at least 5 to 10 times their investment. Angel investors range from those who can comfortably make a $50,000 investment to, as noted, particularly in commercial space ventures, super angels who can make a $100+ million investment. These investors have already made their mark in technology-driven enterprises, and include Jeff Bezos of Blue Origin (Amazon), Elon Musk of SpaceX (PayPal), and Paul Allen of Stratolaunch (Microsoft). Some super angel investors have stated an interest in space investment for purposes other than or in addition to profit-seeking (e.g., exploration, advancement of knowledge), and some in the investment community have defined these investments as “self-finance.”

Venture Capital Firms

Venture capital (VC) firms are groups of investors that invest in start-up, early stage, and growth companies with high growth potential, and accept a significant degree of risk. The trade of risk for potential high returns results in a high failure rate. A 2012 research study by Shikhar Ghosh, senior lecturer at Harvard Business School, finds that, “About three-quarters of venture-backed firms in the U.S. don’t return investors’ capital.”

VC funding has traditionally come in stages (or rounds), generally designated Series A, Series B, Series C, etc. The form of investment is equity; specifically, the instrument is usually preferred stock, which gives the VC firm an equity ownership stake in the company, but at a higher priority (or preference) than investors at common equity (e.g., founders, employees, and angels) and a lower priority than any holders of company debt. The preferred shares are usually convertible to common stock in the instance of a stock market launch or IPO (see “Public Markets”) or sale of the company, which are the typical instances of a VC’s exit. Size of rounds varies substantially, but Series A investment rounds typically range from $2 million to $10 million; Series B, in the low tens of millions of dollars; and Series C, in the high tens of millions of dollars. Investment syndicates comprised of multiple VC firms may significantly increase these levels. Note that the distinct ‘series’ model for VC investments is evolving, with more continuous investment by an ongoing team of investors emerging as a trend. In addition, whereas historically each successive round would be labeled consecutively, by letter, a more recent model appends numbers to rounds. For example, if a start-up raises $7 million in a Series A financing from a group of investors, and several months later raises an additional $3 million from new investors, under the same terms as the Series A financing, then the new investment would be considered Series A-II.

An example of a space start-up receiving multi-stage VC investment is ASTROSCALE, a Singapore-based company founded in 2013, with an R&D facility in Tokyo, Japan and staff in Harwell, U.K. ASTROSCALE says it is developing services in space situational awareness, satellite end-of-life management, and active debris removal. Looking forward, ASTROSCALE says, “We are currently in the midst of developing our first end-of-life servicing technology demonstration mission, ELSA-d, while

---

also researching the technologies and methods necessary for future missions that will actively remove debris currently in orbit. We hope to begin provision of this service in 2020."

In 2015, ASTROSACLE reported a Series A VC funding round of $7.7 million (led by JAFCO with participation from several angel investors). The following year, the company reported a $20 million Series B financing, led by Innovation Network Corporation of Japan (INCJ), with participation from previous investor, JAFCO. In 2017, ASTROSACLE reported a $25 million Series C from ANA Holdings Inc. and OSG Corporation, with participation from INCJ, JAFCO, Mitsubishi UFJ Capital, and aSTART. See Figure 2.

Another example is Kymeta, which reported five investment rounds at increasing valuations. These rounds represent $217.5 million of investment, involving six named investors. Several investors participated in multiple rounds.

Space-oriented VC funds are emerging from this class of investors. In 2016, Starburst Ventures, an extension of Starburst Accelerator, raised a $200 million fund to invest in 35 start-up space ventures over the next three years. In a 2016 interview with TechCrunch, Starburst Accelerator CEO Francois Chopard describes the investment environment of the start-up space ecosystem: “Space technology is today where biotech was about 15 years ago, in terms of potential for startups to flourish.” Seraphim Capital also launched a space technology fund in 2016. The Seraphim Space Fund is a $95 million space-focused fund, whose investors include Surrey Satellite Technology, Telespazio, Teledyne, Rolta, First Derivatives, The British Business Bank, the European Space Agency, and the U.K.’s Satellite Application Catapult. Mark Boggett, CEO of Seraphim Capital, recently spoke with SpaceNews about the fund, saying, “This is a unique approach in venture bringing together various players within the ecosystem with a vested interest to identify, invest and support emerging, innovative technologies and new business models.” Based in London and focused mostly on U.K.-based companies, the fund represents an interest in space investments (broadly defined, to extend to technologies developed for use in space and now being applied in other areas).

In 2015, Bessemer Venture Partners announced a $1.6 billion fund, BVP IX, to invest in innovative companies, to include the space sector. Bessemer has publicly stated that

---

its investment in Terra Bella “was just the beginning.” Rocket Lab and Spire are also part of the Bessemer portfolio.

In addition to VC funds, governments and sovereign wealth funds have emerged as leading investors in start-up space companies. In 2016, Luxembourg opened a $227 million fund, SpaceResources.lu, to provide “early stage investments in innovative start-ups as well as in more mature companies, with a focus both on Luxembourg-based enterprises in the space resources industry, and companies developing substantial space resources related technologies in the Grand Duchy.” Luxembourg provided $27.8 million of financing to Planetary Resources in November 2016. In November 2017, the Luxembourg Future Fund (LFF), set up by the European Investment Fund and the Société Nationale de Crédit et d’Investissement, also provided funding to a space start-up. LFF and Promus Ventures led a $70 million Series C in Spire, a weather and asset tracking space start-up. Russia (Skolkovo) and China (Cyberagent) launched a $200 million venture fund in 2015, with space technology as one focus area. In October 2015, a Russia-based satellite manufacturing start-up, Dauria Aerospace, secured a $70 million project from Cybernaut.

The Virgin Group and Saudi Arabia’s Public Investment Fund (PIF), a sovereign wealth fund, announced a non-binding agreement in October 2017 in which PIF will provide $1 billion of equity to the Virgin Group, taking a significant stake in Virgin’s orbital and suborbital space companies with the option for $480 in future investments. These financings by Luxembourg and PIF represent government investments; however, it is classified as venture capital because the purpose of the investments is to provide early stage funding to start-up companies.

**Private Equity**

Private equity firms or groups are formed by investors to directly invest in companies. They typically invest in established companies (not start-ups) at large transaction sizes and often acquire an entire company or a group of related companies that can merge. Examples of investors represented include many types of institutional investors (e.g., large pension funds), as well as aggregated pools of high net worth individuals.

---


The larger investment firms, which typically have multi-billion dollar investment funds from which to draw, have shown some interest in space over the past 15 years. Firms such as Blackstone, Columbia Capital, Permira, Apax, and Carlyle Group have historically shown an appetite for investing in space firms, typically in the telecommunications industry or government contracting. Larger private equity firms are likely to invest between $100 million to $1 billion, usually in the form of equity. Sometimes, they invest in the form of later stage capital (i.e., later than angel and VC investors) or through outright purchase of targeted companies. Private equity firms also typically invest in firms that are ideal candidates for debt restructuring or leveraged buyouts. This has been the case for several large commercial satellite operators.

Examples of private equity investment in space include Blackstone’s $200 million investment in Sirius Satellite Radio and its nearly $1 billion purchase of the commercial satellite capacity provider New Skies Satellite; Columbia Capital’s substantial investment in XM Satellite Radio and Ligado Networks (formerly LightSquared Company); and Apax, Permira, and Carlyle Group’s multi-hundred million dollar investments in the acquisitions of large satellite services companies, such as Intelsat, Inmarsat, and PanAmSat from 2003 to 2004. Permira bought Asia Broadcast Satellite for $200 million in September 2010; however, few space-related private equity deals have occurred since the financial downturn in 2007/2008.

**Corporations**

Corporations have often provided the funding necessary to bring space-based programs to initial operating capability, as well as to sustain ongoing programs. Corporations invest internally, in R&D, in manufacturing, in operations and processes, and in many other areas to enhance capabilities to create or strengthen an existing expertise or advantage. (A special category of internal investment typical of space firms for whom the U.S. government is a major customer is “independent research and development (IR&D),” which is a type of government-sanctioned R&D expenditure that affects how the government pays a contractor firm for the work that it does.)

A corporation may also provide funding for a venture, usually in the form of straight equity or sometimes in the form of debt, with the option to convert the instrument into equity of the investee company. Some companies may also invest through a corporate venture fund, which acts as a company-owned VC equivalent.

Examples of a corporation serving as a strategic partner include: Liberty Global, a longtime cable operator, participating in private equity and venture capital deals from 2008 to 2013 in O3b, a satellite-based internet delivery constellation; and Kymeta, an omnidirectional satellite antenna developer. In 2009, SES, a commercial geosynchronous orbit (GEO) satellite fleet operator, invested $75 million (a 30 percent stake, early in the investment cycle) in O3b. More recently, the industry has seen a number of different investors in space. For example, SoftBank and other investors provided $164 million of Series C financing to Mapbox, and INCJ joined nine other corporations, one VC, and one bank to provide $90.2 million of Series A financing to ispace. In addition, Google acquired Terra Bella for $478 million in 2014, and SoftBank, Virgin, Coca-Cola, Intelsat, Airbus, Qualcomm, Hughes Network Systems, Totalplay, and Bharti...
Enterprises invested a total of $1.7 billion in OneWeb from 2015 to 2016. In February 2015, Google also invested $900 million in SpaceX, “to support continued innovation in areas of space transport, reusability and satellite manufacturing.” In addition, Tencent Holdings, an investment holding company based in China, has invested in Moon Express, Planetary Resources, Satellogic, and World View Enterprises.

Corporations also acquire firms. A record-setting example is the AT&T purchase of DirecTV in 2015 for $48.5 billion, which set a new bar for acquisition of a space company. Note that DirecTV is not a start-up venture by our definition. This transaction is more typical of the merger and acquisition activity for established companies. Corporations have recently acquired firms that fit the model of start-up space ventures. For example, in 2017, Planet acquired Terra Bella for an undisclosed amount, estimated at $300+ million; AAC Microtec, a Sweden-based space technology company, acquired Clyde Space for $35 million; and EagleView Technologies acquired OmniEarth for an undisclosed amount. In addition, SES acquired O3b for $730 million in 2016, Apple acquired Mapsense for $25 million in 2015, Google acquired Terra Bella for $478 million in 2014, and Monsanto acquired The Climate Corporation for $930 million in 2013.

Banks

Banks have been heavily involved in providing funding for space-based programs of large, established firms, such as GEO satellite operators, during the past 18 years. The basic model is that equity investors provide a substantial “cushion” (e.g., 30 percent of the total capital expense or CapEx required for a certain program, such as deployment of a satellite or satellites). The remainder of CapEx (or other types of programmatic expenditure) is financed by debt, sometimes in the form of “convertible debt,” meaning that the initial instrument is in the form of debt. At certain trigger points, the debt can be converted, in whole or in part, into an equity stake in the financed company.

Commercial banks based in the U.S., such as Wachovia, Wells Fargo, and Citibank, and non-U.S.-based banks, such as Deutsche Bank, BNP Paribas, and ABN AMRO, in Europe, have provided debt financing at a magnitude of $100 million to $1 billion (sometimes exceeding $1 billion) per funding event. Most of the companies financed are companies with large satellite CapEx requirements, such as Intelsat, SES, and Inmarsat, for which multiple GEO satellites are required at a cost of $250 million to $300 million each to build, launch, and insure. Other companies financed (e.g., Iridium and GlobalStar) have $2 billion to $3 billion CapEx requirements for low Earth orbit (LEO) satellite constellations.

In addition, government-backed banks (i.e., export credit agencies), such as U.S. Export-Import (Ex-Im) Bank and COFACE of France have provided debt funding (or guaranteed third-party debt funding) for several satellite systems. The purpose

---

of these banks is to support deals that benefit domestic enterprises, for example, the Ex-Im bank may provide financing to benefit an export deal involving a U.S. satellite manufacturer. Ex-Im Bank has provided $100+ million debt financing at various points during the past several years to satellite operators, including ViaSat of the U.S., AsiaSat and Asia Broadcast Satellite in Asia, and Spacecom in Israel. COFACE has backed the debt obligations of several satellite constellations, including O3b, GlobalStar, and Iridium. Both Ex-Im Bank and COFACE have provided loans and debt guarantees to an Australian company, NewSat, for $400 million. Moreover, Ex-Im Bank provided $525 million in debt financing for ViaSat in November 2014. Proceeds were earmarked for the build and launch of the company’s two Ka-Band (broadband) satellites.

Banks are less likely to have a major role in providing financing for start-up ventures. Note that investment banks and investment bankers—often-visible actors in complex investment transactions typically involving private equity, corporations, and/or public markets—act as brokers arranging and facilitating these transactions rather than as lenders or investors. Investment banks play a variety of roles. For example, they provide consultation on capital raising approaches and more strategic transactions such as mergers and acquisitions (M&A). In addition, investment banks may underwrite a capital raising event (e.g., an IPO). Investment banks often focus on large transactions (typically in the hundreds of millions to over one billion dollar range) and large space/satellite communications companies work with investment banks as financial advisors. These institutions will usually take the role of “lead managers” of a financing transaction, often with several fulfilling that position. For example, J.P. Morgan, Lehman Brothers, and UBS acted as joint lead managers for a $500 million capital raise in 2007 for fledgling mobile satellite services operator TerreStar (now owned by DISH Network).
## Overview of Space Investors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Investor</th>
<th>Characterization of Investor</th>
<th>Typical Space Investment</th>
<th>Investment Type</th>
<th>Examples of Transactions</th>
<th>Expected Returns/Exit Horizon</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angel Investors</td>
<td>High net worth individuals or families</td>
<td>$50K – $1M</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>York Space Systems with $250K of angel investment from Dylan Taylor in 2015</td>
<td>5-10X investment/5-7 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venture Capital Firms</td>
<td>Groups of investors focusing on early stage, high growth ventures and accepting a significant degree of risk</td>
<td>$2M – $75M</td>
<td>Equity preferred stock in several tranches (e.g., Series A, B, C)</td>
<td>ASTROSACLE with $52.7M of venture capital from multiple investors (2015–present)</td>
<td>5X investment/5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Equity Firms</td>
<td>Large investment houses that have multi-billion dollar investment funds—focus on established companies</td>
<td>$100M – $1B</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Virgin Galactic with $490M of investment from Aabar Investments (2009 and 2011)</td>
<td>3-5X investment/3-5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporations</td>
<td>Large companies providing strategic investments to support large CapEx space projects</td>
<td>$100M – $1B</td>
<td>Equity and sometimes debt</td>
<td>OneWeb with $1.7B of investment from SoftBank, Intelsat, and other corporations in 2015 and 2016</td>
<td>Significantly less returns than for private equity firms/horizon is over a long term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banks</td>
<td>Private and government-backed banks providing substantial debt financing layered over equity</td>
<td>$100M – $1B</td>
<td>Debt, sometimes convertible into equity</td>
<td>O3b with $184M of debt financing from COFACE in 2015</td>
<td>Straightline interest rates (e.g., 5–10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Markets</td>
<td>Later stage funding vehicle for supplementary fundings</td>
<td>$100M – $1B</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Iridium raising $170M in an IPO</td>
<td>Serves as a vehicle to allow the earlier investors to exit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Different types of investors pursue different types of investment objectives.
Public Markets

Toward the later stages of a space-based company’s funding trajectory, there can be a public sale of the company’s equity (common stock), or IPO. The IPO enables additional capital to be raised to supplement prior funding rounds and provide previous investors an exit vehicle for their investments (i.e., sell their equity shares in the public market place). Many established space companies and government contractors have long since had their IPOs and continue to trade publicly. Examples include Boeing, Lockheed Martin, Orbital ATK, and Harris Corporation.

IPOs in the space industry have ranged from around $100 million (e.g., GlobalStar and Orbcomm) to about $800 million (e.g., Intelsat). Secondary offerings (post-IPO) also serve to provide funds for capital expenditures and other corporate purposes (e.g., operations, working capital, and retirement of debt). DigitalGlobe raised $279 million in 2009, and in 2014, Iridium raised $170 million through public sales of $50 million in common stock and $120 million in convertible preferred stock. (Iridium is not a start-up space company, by our definition.) IPOs of space start-ups have been very limited, with the only notable example being UrtheCast, which went public on the Toronto Stock Exchange through a reverse IPO in 2013. See Table 2.
Cumulative investment (including debt financing) in start-up space ventures since 2000 totals $18.4 billion, including $6.3 billion in early and late stage venture capital, $2.3 billion in seed financing, $1.7 billion in private equity, and $4.5 billion in debt financing. This cumulative investment also includes $3.6 billion in merger, acquisition, and public offering events. Looking at investment only (excluding debt financing), three-quarters of investment in start-up space ventures since 2000 has been in the last six years, and nearly 60 percent since 2015. Investors have provided between $2 to $3 billion to space start-ups in each of the last three years: $2.4 billion in 2015, $3 billion in 2016, and $2.5 billion in 2017 (excluding debt financing). More than $6.3 billion (80 percent) of investment since 2015 has been seed and venture capital.

The mix of investment types (including debt financing) has evolved over the last 18 years. Seed funding is evident in most years; however, seed funding has typically been at a lower magnitude as compared to other investment types, with the notable exception of Jeff Bezos’s super angel investment in Blue Origin. Debt financing is prominent in the middle years of this timeframe (2006-2011), whereas acquisitions and venture capital significantly increased during the 2012-2017 period. Private equity is also most evident in the 2006-2011 period, when debt financing peaked. See Figure 3 for year-by-year investment. Figure 4 shows annual investment of private equity, venture capital, and seed/prize/grant investment. In addition, Table 6 shows investment by type in three periods, 2000-2005, 2006-2011, and 2012-2017. Table 7 shows annual averages for each period.

Investors provided $2.5 billion of financing to start-up space companies in 2017, about $500 million less than in 2016. This 16 percent decline is primarily driven by the absence of a billion dollar deal such as those seen in 2015 (SpaceX raised a $1 billion Series E) and 2016 (OneWeb raised a $1.2 billion unattributed round) and by smaller value acquisitions in 2017 compared to 2016. Despite a drop in total investment, 2017 marked a historic year for space start-ups. More start-up space companies reported
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Figure 4. The mix of private equity, venture capital, and seed/prize/grant investment in space companies varies from 2000 to 2017.

Investment in 2017 was from 164 investors in 73 companies across 77 deals.

This investment was from 164 investors in 73 companies across 77 deals.

The number of investors increased in 2017 (164) compared to 2016 (136), returning to 2015 levels (166). The total number of start-up space companies reporting new funding in 2017 (73) broke the 2015 record (65) and increased by one-third over the 2016 total (55). Deal count also increased in 2017 (77) compared to 2016 (64), returning to 2015 levels (81).

Table 3. Third consecutive year of substantial investment in start-up space, with a slight drop from 2016.
The year 2017 also saw an increased level of non-U.S. investor activity. Investment in U.S.-based space start-ups continue to dominate start-up space, comprising about 75 percent of all investment (and 90 percent of seed and angel investment) since 2015. About 60 percent of investors and companies reporting investment in the last three years are headquartered in the United States. Total investment in non-U.S. space start-ups declined in 2017, compared to 2015 and 2016, driven by a decline in private equity, debt financing, and acquisition transactions in U.K.-based start-up space firm, O3b. However, non-U.S. seed and venture investment, the number of non-U.S. firms reporting investment, and the number of non-U.S. investors reached highs in 2017. See Figure 5.

Total investment in non-U.S. space start-ups in 2017 was $516 million, representing a 42 percent drop from the 2016 total ($884 million). Seed and venture investment in non-U.S. space start-ups rose from $153 million in 2016 to $480 million in 2017. The 2017 non-U.S. total was greater than the three previous years, combined. See Table 4.
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Figure 5. Seed and venture capital investment in non-U.S. space start-ups increased from 2016 to 2017.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(millions)</td>
<td>(millions)</td>
<td>(millions)</td>
<td>(millions)</td>
<td>(millions)</td>
<td>(millions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Investment</td>
<td>U.S.</td>
<td>$2,133.0</td>
<td>-1%</td>
<td>$2,103.0</td>
<td>-5%</td>
<td>$1,992.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-U.S.</td>
<td>$664.6</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>$883.9</td>
<td>-42%</td>
<td>$515.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seed and Venture Investment</td>
<td>U.S.</td>
<td>$2,081.1</td>
<td>-10%</td>
<td>$1,869.6</td>
<td>-11%</td>
<td>$1,662.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-U.S.</td>
<td>$79.0</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>$152.9</td>
<td>214%</td>
<td>$480.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Investors</td>
<td>U.S.</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>-15%</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-U.S.</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-20%</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Recipients</td>
<td>U.S.</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>-17%</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-U.S.</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>-13%</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Investment magnitude in U.S. and non-U.S. space start-ups, number of investors, and number of recipients from 2015 to 2017.
Seed Funding

For the total period covered in this report, seed funding is $2.3 billion. Note that the large seed funding amounts in 2000 and from 2015 to 2017 primarily represent an estimated $1.5+ billion commitment by Jeff Bezos to Blue Origin; $500 million of this estimated funding is counted here in the year of the company’s founding. However, the timing of the commitment is not public. It may be spread more evenly over the study period, and (based on company activity and employment) appears to have been spent at a higher rate in recent years. In early 2017, Blue Origin publicly commented on the New Glenn launch vehicle. In these public comments, Jeff Bezos said he would sell about $1 billion per year of Amazon stock to finance development of the new launch vehicle, which Blue forecasts will have a development cost of $2.5 billion. We have assumed that investment in Blue in 2017 was between $475 and $525 million, marking a steady increase from 2016, when we estimated investment in the range of $350 to $400 million. This increase reflects development and operations costs associated with the New Glenn and New Shepard launch vehicles and other activities.

Overall, seed funding totals are dominated by a few super angel investments, including investment by Jeff Bezos in Blue Origin, Elon Musk’s early investment in SpaceX ($100 million in 2006), Richard Branson’s investment in Virgin Galactic ($100 million since 2004), and Robert Bigelow’s investment in Bigelow Aerospace ($250 million largely invested around the period 2010 to 2013). Figure 6 separates estimated super angel investment in Blue from other seed investments in 2017.

Excluding super angel investments, total seed funding dropped to half from the 2000-2005 ($40 million) to 2006-2011 ($19 million) periods, and then increased eleven-fold from the 2006-2011 to 2012-2016 ($229 million) periods. Despite the drop in magnitude between the 2000-2005 and 2006-2011 periods, the number of angels more than tripled at the same time. This upward trend continued from the 2006-2011 to 2012-2016 intervals, with the number nearly quadrupling. See Figure 7.
Excluding investment from super angels, total seed investment in 2017 was $46.5 million, a slight increase over 2016 ($44.7 million); seed investment has increased 150 percent since 2015 ($18.4 million). In addition, average investment per seed deal increased modestly from $1.5 million in 2016 to $1.7 million in 2017.

Including investment from super angels, seed investments in start-up space ventures increased 30 percent from 2016 ($420 million) to 2017 ($547 million). Average deal size increased 44 percent from $13.5 million to $19.5 million. The number of seed deals declined slightly, with 31 deals in 2016 and 28 deals in 2017.

**Venture Capital**

Venture capital investment in start-up space ventures since 2000 totals $6.3 billion, with more than 80 percent in the last three years.

Total venture investment, nearly $1.6 billion, was about the same in 2017 as 2016. However, venture deals increased in frequency by nearly 70 percent in 2017, including more than four times as many late stage venture deals (the most of any year). Of the 87 venture capital firms that invested in start-up space companies in 2017, 44 had previously reported investment in start-up space companies, while 43 appear to be new additions to the start-up space ecosystem.

Figure 8. About 60 percent of all venture investment in the last three years has gone to SpaceX and OneWeb.

Three 2017 deals exceeded $100 million. The largest deal was SpaceX’s $450 million Series H round, the second largest was China-based ExPace Technology’s $182 million Series A, and the third was Mapbox’s $164 million Series C, led by SoftBank. In past years, the largest venture deals have been those in SpaceX and OneWeb. Figure 8 shows the impact of venture investments in SpaceX and OneWeb on total annual
venture investment. The category “Other” represents the total sum of all venture deals each year, excluding the SpaceX and OneWeb deals. About 60 percent of all venture investment in the last three years has gone to SpaceX and OneWeb. (Looking at total investment of all types, SpaceX and OneWeb represent about 40 percent.)

Excluding $100+ million deals, venture investment doubled from 2016 ($402 million) to 2017 ($800 million). In addition, excluding only the very large, atypical $1.2 billion round of venture capital from SoftBank and other investors in OneWeb in 2016, 2017 saw about four times the venture investment of 2016.

Across all segments (not only space), 2017 saw an historic number of deals valued at $100+ million (109), surpassing a record-breaking 2015 (107) and exceeding by three-fourths the number of $100+ million deals in 2016 (62). In comparison, start-up space companies saw the number of venture deals increase by nearly 70 percent and experienced a tripling in $100+ million deals from 2016 to 2017. Global funding across all venture capital investments (not specifically space) increased from 2016 by nearly 50 percent to $164 billion in 2017. In contrast, venture capital investment in start-up space companies was approximately the same from 2016 to 2017. Asian venture capital funding was particularly strong in 2017, increasing by 117 percent to $70.8 billion. European venture capital funding saw an uptick as well, increasing by 40 percent ($17.6 billion). While U.S. venture capital funding increased by 17 percent to $71.9 billion, U.S. deals were down by 4 percent (5,052 deals). Since 2014, each year has shown fewer venture deals despite greater annual investment magnitudes.

In 2017, 44 start-up space companies reported venture investment, close to double the 2016 total (24). Examples of space start-ups raising venture capital in 2017 include Series A funding for Astro Digital, Capella Space, Fleet, Envizu, Infostellar, ispace, ExPace Technology, Ursa Space Systems, and Vector; Series B funding for Descartes Labs, Hera Systems, Moon Express, Satellogic, and Swift Navigation; Series C funding for ASTROSASZE, Orbital Insight, Mapbox, and Spire; Series D funding for Rocket Lab; Series E funding for Kymeta; and Series H funding for SpaceX. During the previous 17 years (2000-2016), venture investment totaled nearly $4.7 billion in 75 firms. The largest venture investments in that period were in 2015, with SpaceX’s $1 billion Series E and OneWeb’s $500 million Series A, and in 2016, with OneWeb’s $1.2 billion unattributed round.

The number of start-up space venture deals increased from 26 in 2016 to 44 in 2017, nearly a 70 percent increase. In addition, 2017 saw nearly a quintupling in the number of late stage venture deals (9). The average venture deal in 2017 was $36.3 million, compared to $61.6 million in 2016. Excluding $100+ million venture deals, the average venture deal size increased from 2016 to 2017 by 21 percent. (Excluding $100+ million deals, the average venture deal size in 2017 was $19.5 million.)

The number of venture capital firms investing in start-up space increased by 16 percent in 2017, from 75 to 87 firms. (The reported number of venture capital firms investing in 2016 has increased since our previous report, as more companies have announced transactions and as new data sets have become available.)

Note that the three largest venture investments in start-up space have been made by atypical venture investors, including Google/Fidelity ($1 billion investment in SpaceX, 2015); several corporations, including Coca-Cola ($500 million in OneWeb, 2015); and SoftBank and others ($1.2 billion in OneWeb, 2016). (A few sources have labeled the SoftBank investment as private equity. Based on the nature and purpose of the investment, we have designated it as venture.)

**Private Equity**


**Acquisition**

Acquisitions total $3.6 billion from 2000 to 2017. Nearly 40 percent of the value from acquisitions in space start-ups has come from transactions in the last three years ($1.4 billion). Over 180 angel- and venture-backed space companies have been founded since 2000; 18 of these companies have been acquired. The substantial values for acquisitions in 2013, 2014, and 2016 represent Monsanto’s acquisition of the Climate Corporation for $930 million, Google’s acquisition of Terra Bella for $478 million, and SES’s acquisition of O3b for $730 million, respectively. The next largest acquisition was WildBlue by ViaSat for $568 million in 2009.

Three start-up space companies were acquired in 2017. In February 2017, Planet acquired Terra Bella for an undisclosed amount, estimated at $300+ million. Founded in 2005, Clyde Space previously reported $1.6 million of funding from investors, including Nevis Capital and Coralinn. In 2017, the company was acquired by a Sweden-based space technology company, AAC Microtec, for $35 million.

OmniEarth, a Virginia-based space start-up founded in 2014, was acquired by EagleView Technologies for an undisclosed amount in April 2017. The company had previously reported about $5 million of financing from angel investors. In 2017, MacDonald, Dettwiler and Associates (MDA) announced a $2.4 billion merger with DigitalGlobe, a publicly traded company, forming Maxar Technologies. (While DigitalGlobe reportedly has received some venture funding in past years, Bryce has determined it does not on balance meet the operational definition of a start-up space company used in this report, and so those investments and the value of its acquisition are not included in the totals reported in this analysis.)

12 In October 2016, SoftBank announced the SoftBank Vision Fund and subsequently announced its first major close with over $93 billion of committed capital in May 2017. According to financial filings on the SoftBank website, the company used the Vision Fund to place an investment in Mapbox, which corresponds with a $164 million Series C investment in Mapbox that SoftBank led in October 2017. *TechCrunch* has reported that SoftBank is considering a follow-on to the Vision Fund.
Table 5. Investors in several start-up space ventures have seen substantial returns through acquisition. Note that the acquisition value for Terra Bella in 2017 is an estimate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Acquirer</th>
<th>Acquisition Year</th>
<th>Acquisition Value (millions)</th>
<th>Pre-Acquisition Total Investment (millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WildBlue</td>
<td>ViaSat Inc.</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>$568</td>
<td>$756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Climate Corporation</td>
<td>Monsanto</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$930</td>
<td>$109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terra Bella</td>
<td>Google</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$478</td>
<td>$91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deCarta</td>
<td>Uber</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mapsense</td>
<td>Apple</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$25</td>
<td>$3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clyde Space</td>
<td>AAC Microtec</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$35</td>
<td>$2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terra Bella</td>
<td>Planet</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$300+</td>
<td>$91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In recent years, SES acquired O3b for $730 million (2016) and Apple acquired Mapsense for $25 million (2015). In addition, Uber acquired deCarta in 2015 and Spaceflight Industries acquired OpenWhere in 2016, both for undisclosed amounts.

Table 5 shows six companies that started and exited during the study period. Note that in the 2014 Terra Bella transaction, the acquisition value was approximately 5.3 times the reported previous investment. In the Climate Corporation example, it was 8.5 times. Other acquisitions during the study period include BlackBridge by Planet (undisclosed), Deimos by UrtheCast ($84.2 million), and SkyWave by Orbcomm ($130 million).

**Public Offering**

UrtheCast is the only start-up space company to raise funding ($23 million) through a public offering since 2000. The last major public offering for a space company was in 2013 when Intelsat raised $349 million through an IPO. (Intelsat does not meet our criteria for a start-up space company.)

**Debt Financing**

Investment Across All Types

The average start-up space investment per year over the period since 2000 is $1 billion, which is affected by a surge in debt financing in 2010. Excluding debt financing, average investment is about $775 million per year. Looking at the other investment types, the average venture capital level is $350 million per year, with an average of $940 million in the most recent six-year period. The average acquisition level is about $200 million per year, and is $500 million per year in the most recent six-year period. The average seed funding level is about $225 million per year in the last six years, up from $50 million per year in the prior six-year period. In addition, the average private equity funding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seed/Prize/Grant</td>
<td>$640.1</td>
<td>$299.0</td>
<td>$1,353.6</td>
<td>$2,292.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venture Capital</td>
<td>$237.9</td>
<td>$416.8</td>
<td>$5,640.6</td>
<td>$6,295.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Equity</td>
<td>$232.9</td>
<td>$1,288.1</td>
<td>$222.4</td>
<td>$1,743.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$584.0</td>
<td>$2,998.3</td>
<td>$3,582.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Offering</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-23.4</td>
<td>-23.4</td>
<td>-23.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Investment</td>
<td>$1,110.9</td>
<td>$2,587.8</td>
<td>$10,238.3</td>
<td>$13,937.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Financing</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$4,095.9</td>
<td>$386.3</td>
<td>$4,482.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total with Debt</td>
<td>$1,110.9</td>
<td>$6,683.7</td>
<td>$10,624.6</td>
<td>$18,419.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. The magnitude of investments varies based on investment type and time period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seed/Prize/Grant</td>
<td>$106.7</td>
<td>$49.8</td>
<td>$225.6</td>
<td>$127.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venture Capital</td>
<td>$39.7</td>
<td>$69.5</td>
<td>$940.1</td>
<td>$349.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Equity</td>
<td>$38.8</td>
<td>$214.7</td>
<td>$37.1</td>
<td>$96.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$97.3</td>
<td>$499.7</td>
<td>$199.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Offering</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$3.9</td>
<td>$1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Investment</td>
<td>$185.2</td>
<td>$431.3</td>
<td>$1,706.4</td>
<td>$774.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Financing</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$682.6</td>
<td>$64.4</td>
<td>$249.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average with Debt</td>
<td>$185.2</td>
<td>$1,113.9</td>
<td>$1,770.8</td>
<td>$1,023.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7. The annual average of space investments varies based on investment type and time period.
Considering multi-year periods, average annual start-up space seed funding, venture capital, and acquisitions have recently increased. The level is $37 million in the last six years, a decrease from the $215 million per year in the 2006-2011 period. Looking at the data in these multi-year groupings, average annual values for seed, venture, acquisition, and public offerings have increased, while those of private equity and debt financing have decreased. See Figure 9.

**Valuation**

Investors focus on valuations and exits. SpaceX is an undisputed space unicorn (a private company with a valuation of $1 billion or more); after a $450 million Series H, SpaceX’s valuation was reported at $21.5 billion.

Three other start-up space companies have been reported by some sources to be unicorns: Planet, Rocket Lab, and OneWeb. Investment publications and analytics firms have published valuations for each of these companies that exceed $1 billion. After Planet closed a Series C round of venture capital, PitchBook valued the company at $1.13 billion. *Forbes* published a valuation in excess of $1 billion for Rocket Lab after the space start-up closed a Series D round of venture capital in March 2017, and PitchBook highlighted the company in its Unicorn List of 2017, publishing a $1.1 billion valuation as of March 2017. OneWeb has reported over $1.7 billion of investment since 2015; however, a valuation has not been reported. Mapbox and Kymeta may be on the unicorn path. Both companies have raised nearly $225 million in venture capital and seed investment.

While unicorn valuations are increasing in number, some financial analysts caution that many unicorns (across sectors, not specifically in space) have exaggerated valuations.
This research has identified 555 investors that have provided funding to start-up space companies. Not all investors are always disclosed, so the actual number of investors is likely higher.

Over the 18-year period, we looked at the distribution of investors across the five categories. Venture capital firms represent the largest number of investors in start-up space companies, followed by angel investors. These two investor groups comprise about 70 percent of the investors in start-up space ventures. Corporations, private equity firms, and banks (debt financing) make up the remaining 30 percent. See Figure 10. There is small participation by a few altruists, who have provided grants or prizes, such as Thiel Foundation, Knight Foundation, XPRIZE Foundation, and Space Frontier Foundation, primarily through business plan competitions or challenges.

The year 2017 saw about 20 percent more investors providing funding to start-up space companies, compared to 2016. The number of deals increased from 64 to 77, and the number of investors in those deals increased from 136 to 164. The number of investors and deals nearly returned to 2015 levels when 166 investors participated in 81 deals. New investors continue to enter the ecosystem, with 44 venture capital firms and 32 corporations investing in start-up space for the first time in 2017.

The number of investors has grown over the last 18 years. From 2000 to 2005, the number of investors per year averaged 8, and from 2006 to 2011, the number averaged 23. From 2012 to 2017, the average is 110 investors, more than a twelve-fold increase.

Figure 10. By number of investors, VCs are the largest investor group for space start-ups. Note that angel investors and altruists are combined into the angel investors category in this chart.
from the first one-third of the study period. Figure 11 shows the number of investors and investment magnitude (excluding debt financing) since 2000.

The mix of investors changes from year to year, as shown in Figure 12. The trend for each investor group is discussed in the sections below. From 2000 to 2005, an average of four venture capital firms, two corporations, one angel investor, and two private equity firms invested in start-up space companies each year. During the middle years of the study period (2006-2011), the average number of venture capital firms investing doubled and angels quintupled while the number of private equity firms and corporations remained steady.

From 2012 to 2017, an average of 60 venture capital firms, 25 angel investors, and 21 corporations per year invested in start-up space ventures. On average, during the last three years, 85 venture capital firms, 28 angel investors, and 35 corporations have invested in start-up space companies each year. Private equity firms have played a comparatively smaller role in start-up space investment in recent years.

The past four years show more than a quadrupling in the number of corporations investing in start-up space ventures, driven largely by a seven-fold increase in the number of non-U.S. corporate investors from 2014 (4) to 2017 (32). The number of Japan-based corporations investing in start-up space companies also saw a quintupling from 2016 (4) to 2017 (20).

Banks (typically providing debt financing) appear prominently in 2010 and 2011. Deals for O3b and Ligado Networks brought many banks to the table in 2010 and 2011. Activity in public markets has been uncommon as UrtheCast is the only start-up space company to go public from 2000 to 2017 (through a reverse IPO).
Investors in start-up space companies are primarily based in the United States. These 321 investors make up 58 percent of the global total (555). California is home to nearly half of U.S. investors (158), representing 28 percent of the global total. The other 163
Figure 14. Investors in start-up space ventures are headquartered in the U.S. and 36 other countries.

Investors are located elsewhere in the United States, with New York (46) as a notable example. See Figure 13.

Start-up space companies are also primarily based in the United States. These 130 companies make up 76 percent of the global total (195). California is home to 36 percent of U.S. space start-ups (47), representing 24 percent of the global total. The other 83 space start-ups are located elsewhere in the United States, with Texas (10) as a notable example.

From 2000 to 2017, there have been 234 non-U.S. investors in start-up space companies, based in 36 countries. Japan is home to 9 percent of non-U.S. investors (44), followed by the United Kingdom (41), Canada (19), Israel (17), Spain (13), China (10), India (10), Australia (9), and Russia (6). See Figure 14.

In 2017, 76 investors were based outside the United States. Non-U.S. investors went from about one-third in 2016 to slightly less than half of all investors in 2017. Most new non-U.S. investors were corporations. Thirty-two non-U.S. corporations provided funding to space start-ups in 2017. Twenty of these corporations are headquartered in Japan. (The increase in non-U.S. investors included a quintupling in the number of Japan-based investors.)
Start-up space companies headquartered outside of the United States (65) make up 24 percent of the global total. The United Kingdom is home to 20 percent of non-U.S. start-up space companies (13), representing seven percent of the global total, followed by Canada (8), Australia (5), Israel (4), Japan (4), and Israel (4).

**Angels**

Since 2000, over 140 angel investors have invested in start-up space companies. Angel investors include individual angels and groups of angels. This study considers angels who are accredited investors, as defined by the Securities and Exchange Commission, with either an earned income that exceeds $200,000 (or $300,000 if married) per year or a net worth over $1 million, either alone or together with a spouse (excluding the value of the person’s primary residence). For example, Space Angels, a global network of 250 accredited angel investors, provides funding to early stage aerospace start-ups. To be included in the data set, at least one angel has to have announced an investment. Most angel investments are not made public, so the actual number of investors is higher.

The most prominent angel investors are “space billionaires.” These billionaires have accrued their wealth through other successful businesses or investments and have either founded a space company or invested their own money in a space company. Jeff Bezos, Richard Branson, and Elon Musk are usually the first billionaires mentioned, but they are not the only ones. Of the 1,940 people on Forbes’ 2017 World’s Billionaires, more than 40 have an affiliation to a space enterprise. This represents about 2 percent of billionaires.\(^\text{13,14}\)

Other notable individual angel investors are Dylan Taylor and Esther Dyson. Taylor has invested in 10 different space start-ups, and Dyson has invested in NanoRacks, Space Adventures, and XCOR. Four companies that included many individual investors are Moon Express (14), Planetary Resources (9), ASTROSALC (8), Sen (8), Team Indus (7), Dauria Aerospace (6), XCOR (5), Vector (5), and York Space Systems (5).

Angel investors have found power in numbers and pool their resources. Angels come together and invest in groups, often called syndicates, such as Boston Harbor Angels, which invested in XCOR Aerospace; Desert Angels, which invested in Vector; and Green Angel Syndicate, which invested in Global Surface Intelligence. Space Angels has been particularly active, establishing an online investment platform and providing early stage capital through its own managed funds to over two dozen different start-up space companies.

Angels investing in space companies are primarily based in the United States (94). Angels based in the United States comprise two-thirds of the global total (141). California is home to the majority of angels in the United States, representing 44 percent of the U.S. total and 29 percent of the global total. The other 56 percent of angels investing in start-up space companies in the U.S. are located in Arizona, Florida, New York, Washington, and several other states.


Non-U.S. angel investors are based around the globe. Australia, India, Israel, Japan, Russia, the United Kingdom are home to four or more angels investing in space companies, with the U.K. hosting 26 percent of non-U.S. angels, Japan hosting 19 percent, and India hosting 13 percent.

Figure 15. Considering multi-year periods, angel investor activity has increased.

Angels have been increasingly investing in start-up space companies. From 2000 to 2005, the average number of angel investors per year was one. No angel activity was publicly reported in 2005. From 2006 to 2011, the average was five. Starting in 2012, the average number of angel investors per year jumped to 25—a four-fold increase over the 2006-2011 period. See Figure 15.

Venture Capital Firms

Since 2000, more than 250 VC firms have invested in start-up space companies. VCs generally invest in start-ups and early stage companies with high growth potential, and accept a significant degree of risk. These investors frequently invest in syndicates, pooling investment with other VCs, but they can also include angel investors, corporations, private equity firms, and banks. In 2017, 87 VCs invested in start-up space companies, nearly returning to the peak level seen in 2015 (92), and surpassing the 2016 total (75). (The reported number of venture capital firms investing in 2016 has increased since our previous report, as more companies have publicly announced transactions and as new data sets have become available.) Of the 87 VCs that invested in start-up space companies in 2017, 44 had previously reported investment in start-up space companies, while 43 appear to be new additions to the start-up space ecosystem.

The number of VC firms investing in space companies has increased in recent years, with a peak in 2015. From 2000 to 2005, the average number of VCs per year is four. Over the next six years, the average is nine. From 2012 to 2017, the average is 60. This is more than a five-fold increase from the 2006-2011 period. See Figure 16.
VCs investing in space companies are primarily based in the United States (166). VCs with headquarters in the United States make up nearly two-thirds of the global total. Over half of U.S. VCs (58 percent) are based in California, representing 38 percent of the global total. The remaining 42 percent of U.S. VCs are located elsewhere in the United States, with Colorado, Illinois, Maryland, Massachusetts, and New York as notable examples.

Non-U.S. VCs investing in start-up space ventures have headquarters in 26 countries. Australia, India, Italy, Jordan, Switzerland, Spain, and the United Kingdom are home to four or more VCs investing in space companies, with the U.K. hosting 20 percent, Israel hosting 9 percent, and Japan hosting 9 percent of non-U.S. VCs.

**Most Active Space VCs**

Nineteen venture capital firms have invested in three or more start-up space companies. Promus Ventures has invested in six; Data Collective, Draper Fisher Jurvetson, Founders Fund, In-Q-Tel, and RRE Ventures have invested in five; Khosla Ventures, Lux Capital, New Enterprise Associates, and Shasta Ventures have invested in four; and nine other firms have invested in three start-up space companies. In addition, 31 venture capital firms have invested in two start-up space companies and 204 VCs have invested in one company. See Figure 17.
Sixteen start-up space companies have attracted investment from two or more of the most space-focused VCs (that is, the 19 VCs shown in Figure 17 that have invested in three or more start-up space companies). The sixteen companies are Accion Systems, Cape Analytics, Enview, Kepler Communications, Mapbox, Orbital Insight, Planet, Rocket Lab, SkyWatch, SpaceX, Spire, Swift Navigation, Terra Bella, The Climate Corporation, Ursa Space Systems, and Vector. The relationships are diagrammed in Figure 18.

Fifteen VCs have participated in five or more deals in start-up space companies since 2000. (That is, these VCs have participated in multiple rounds or other specific transactions, which may include more than one investment in a single company.) Draper Fisher Jurvetson leads this group, having participated in 13 start-up space deals during the study period, followed by Founders Fund (11) and Lux Capital (11); Khosla Ventures (10); Data Collective (8), New Enterprise Associates (8), Promus Ventures (8), and RRE Ventures (8); First Round Capital (7), In-Q-Tel (7), and Sequoia Capital (7); Bessemer Venture Partners (6), Kleiner Perkins Caufield & Byers (6), and Lemnos Labs (6); and Norwest Venture Partners (5). See Figure 19.

Figure 17. VCs investing in three or more space companies.
### Figure 18. Common investments among the most space-focused VCs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>VC Name</th>
<th>Investments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bessemer Venture Partners</td>
<td>5 12 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Data Collective</td>
<td>2 7 9 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>DFJ</td>
<td>8 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>First Round</td>
<td>15 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Founders Fund</td>
<td>3 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Fresco Capital</td>
<td>2 3 4 5 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Khosla Ventures</td>
<td>1 2 7 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Lemnos Labs</td>
<td>15 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Lux+</td>
<td>3 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>NEA</td>
<td>1 6 8 11 12 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Promus Ventures</td>
<td>4 8 10 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>RRE Ventures</td>
<td>4 5 7 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Sequoia</td>
<td>10 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Shasta Ventures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>SK Ventures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Techstars</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Private Equity Groups

Since 2000, 35 private equity firms have invested in start-up space companies. The number of private equity firms investing in space start-ups has varied over the study period; the multi-year average is two to three per year. Transactions involving Ligado Networks, O3b, and Virgin Galactic are prominent in 2000, 2004, 2009, 2010, and 2011. See Figure 20.

Private equity firms investing in space companies are split between the United States and elsewhere around the world. Firms with headquarters in the United States make up 46 percent of the global total. The majority of U.S. firms are based in California, Massachusetts, and New York, representing nearly one-third of the global total.

Non-U.S. private equity firms have headquarters in 10 countries around the globe. Canada, Israel, and the United Kingdom are home to multiple private equity firms investing in start-up space companies, with the U.K. hosting 26 percent of non-U.S. private equity firms.
Corporations

Since 2000, 103 corporations have invested in start-up space companies. The number of corporations investing in start-up space ventures increased 41 percent from 2016 (32) to 2017 (45), driven largely by a 60 percent increase in the number of non-U.S. corporations investing. Of note, 20 of these firms are headquartered in Japan. (We include corporate venture funds in this investor group.) From 2000 to 2005, the average number of investors per year is two, and from 2006 to 2011, the average is three. From 2012 to 2017, the average is 21. This average represents a six-fold increase in the number of corporations investing in start-up space ventures since the 2006-2011 period. See Figure 21.

Of the corporations investing in start-up space ventures, 39 percent are headquartered in the United States. A plurality of U.S. firms is based in California, representing 14 percent of the global total. The remaining U.S. portion of the global total is composed of firms located elsewhere in the United States, with Maryland, New York, and Virginia as notable examples. Non-U.S. firms comprise 61 percent of the global total. Nearly half of non-U.S. firms are headquartered in Asia.

Both space companies and non-space companies are investing in start-up space ventures. Existing space corporations represent 35 percent (36) of this investor group, while non-space corporations represent 65 percent (67). Of the space companies, 39 percent (14) are headquartered in the U.S. Of the non-space corporations, 39 percent (26) are headquartered in the U.S. See Figure 22.

The corporations outside the United States have headquarters in 21 countries. Japan is home to 38 percent (24) of non-U.S. corporations investing in start-up space companies, followed by Spain (7), Canada (6), the United Kingdom (4), and China (3).
Figure 21. The number of corporations investing in start-up space ventures increased 41 percent from 2016 (32) to 2017 (45).

Figure 22. Space and non-space corporations investing in start-up space ventures are more likely to be headquartered outside of the United States.
Others include Australia, Luxembourg, and the Netherlands, each of which is home to two corporations investing in start-up space companies.

Corporations have invested in nearly 70 start-up space companies and participated in 105 deals since 2000; about 17 percent of deals in which corporations have invested have been acquisitions. Acquisitions include Clyde Space by AAC Microtec, OmniEarth by EagleView Technologies, Terra Bella by Planet, BlackBridge by Planet, deCarta by Uber, Deimos by UrtheCast, Terra Bella by Google, SkyWave by Orbcomm, The Climate Corporation by Monsanto, WildBlue by ViaSat, O3b by SES, GATR Technologies by Cubic Corporation, and Mapsense by Apple. In addition, UrtheCast went public through a reverse IPO with an existing company, Longford Energy.

**Banks and Other Financial Institutions**

Relatively few—about 1 in 20—of the transactions covered in this analysis have reported debt financing as a significant component. The number of banks investing in start-up space ventures peaked in 2010 and 2011. See Figure 23.

The following are examples of start-up space ventures receiving debt financing:

- Vector received $4.5 million of debt financing from Desert Angels, Arizona Technology Investors, Kurrent Investment, Space Angels, Kanematsu Corporation, Sequoia Capital, and Shaun Coleman in 2017.
- Ecometrica received about $900,000 of debt financing from Clydesdale Bank in 2016.
- O3b received $525 million in debt financing from COFACE, France’s export credit agency, and others from 2009 to 2015.
- Planet received a debt facility of $25 million from Western Technology Investment in 2015.
- Kepler Communications received a $100,000 convertible note from Right Side Capital Management in 2016.
Figure 23. Banks financing start-up space ventures peaked in 2010 and 2011, coinciding with the peak in debt financing during the study period.
The year 2017 marked the third consecutive year during which investors provided $2 to $3 billion of funding to start-up space companies. Venture capital investment in start-up space ventures held steady at about $1.6 billion despite the absence of a billion dollar deal, and the number of investors (164) and deals (77) returned to the peak levels seen in 2015. A record-setting number of start-up space companies reported investment (73), an increase of one-third over the 2016 total. With this sustained level of funding, many new companies are planning their first technical demonstrations for 2018, and many others are looking to expand their capabilities. As of this writing, the following are important planned next steps for start-up space companies.

**Smallsat Launch Ventures.** The year 2018 will be an especially active year for smallsat launch ventures. Several companies, including Vector, Rocket Labs, and Virgin Orbit, will be in the spotlight with early tests and first launches. Rocket Lab successfully launched and deployed payloads from its Electron launch vehicle in January 2018, following a delayed first test in late 2017. One of the payloads included in the most recent launch was one of Planet’s Dove satellites, marking the first successful integration into a Rocket Lab Maxwell deployer and first Rocket Lab launch to carry a commercial payload. The company plans to deploy another 12 CubeSats for NASA’s Venture Class Launch Service program in 2018 or 2019.

Vector is expected to launch its first commercial payload, the Corvus-HD earth observation nanosatellite from Astro Digital (formerly known as Aquila Space) in 2018. If successful, Vector ultimately plans to launch 20 satellites for Astro Digital to image all agricultural land, globally, every three to four days.

Virgin Orbit is also getting ready for the first launch of its small-satellite vehicle, LauncherOne, in 2018. They are expected to launch satellites for NASA, ESA, and the U.S. Department of Defense and begin to fulfill their launch contract of 39 OneWeb satellites.

**Space Tourism.** The year 2018 may see the start of commercial suborbital human spaceflights. Based on public statements, Virgin Galactic plans to resume final testing of its vehicles in 2018, leading to a possible first commercial flight by year’s end. Blue Origin may not be too far behind, having flown a commercial cargo payload in late 2017. Blue has said it plans to launch a crewed mission via its Crew Capsule 2.0 onboard the New Shepard launch vehicle in 2019.

**Commercial Crew.** SpaceX plans to attempt an autonomous, uncrewed flight of the Crew Dragon spacecraft to the International Space Station (ISS) this year, followed by the planned launch of the first crewed flight to ISS later this year. This launch would mark the return of U.S. astronaut transportation to the ISS from the U.S. and will be a significant start-up space milestone. In addition, SpaceX, recipient of nearly $1.7 billion of venture capital and super angel investment as well as several billion dollars in federal contracts, also successfully launched its Falcon Heavy launch vehicle in February 2018, potentially ushering in a new era of heavy lift vehicles.

**Exploration.** The $30 million Google Lunar XPRIZE Grand Prize will go unclaimed. Five finalist teams—SpaceIL from Israel; Moon Express from the U.S.; Team Indus from India; HAKUTO from Japan; and Synergy Moon, an international collaboration—pursuing the grand prize raised a reported $300 million through venture capital, corporate sponsorships, and government contracts. In a January 2018
statement, XPRIZE announced that the foundation is “exploring a number of ways to proceed from here. This may include finding a new title sponsor to provide a prize purse following in the footsteps of Google’s generosity, or continuing the Lunar XPRIZE as a non-cash competition where we will follow and promote the teams and help celebrate their achievements.”

At least some of the teams have said they are committed to carrying out their missions to the moon in 2018. A successful landing on the lunar surface by one of these competitors would be a significant start-up space milestone.

NASA’s recently announced fiscal year (FY) 2019 budget proposes several exploration initiatives important to start-up space. Under its proposed budget, NASA is exploring the transition of ISS operations to the private sector in 2025. The budget proposes $150 million in FY 2019 to support the transition, growing to $225 million in FY 2023. Additional emphasis is being placed on the role of commercial space companies in lunar exploration, including potential contracts for commercial lunar landers that may be attractive to Blue Origin, Astrobotic, and Moon Express. NASA’s proposed budget and these included proposals are dependent on Congressional approval.


This report was written and produced by Bryce Space and Technology, which conducted the study on which it is based.
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